ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Experimental Study on the Reduction Effect of Pit Texture on Disassembly Damage for Interference Fit



Dan Zhou^{1*}, Yi Xu¹, Xin Gao¹, Haihong Huang¹ and Songjiang Lv²

Abstract

After remanufacturing disassembly, several kinds of friction damages can be found on the mating surface of interference fit. These damages should be repaired and the cost is closely related to the severity of damages. Inspired by the excellent performance of surface texture in wear reduction, 5 shapes of pit array textures are added to the specimens' surface to study their reduction effect of disassembly damage for interference fit. The results of disassembly experiments show that the order of influence of texture parameters on disassembly damage is as follows: equivalent circle diameter of single texture, texture shape and texture surface density. The influence of equivalent circle diameter of single texture and texture shape are obviously more significant than that of texture surface density. The circular texture with a surface density of 30% and a diameter of 100 µm shows an excellent disassembly damage formation and evolution is also relatively small on this kind of textured surface. Besides, the load-carrying capacity of interference fit with the excellent texture is confirmed by load-carrying capacity experiments. The results show that the load-carrying capacity of the excellent texture surface is increased about 40% compared with that of without texture. This research provides a potential approach to reduce disassembly damage for interference fit.

Keywords Remanufacturing, Interference fit, Disassembly damage, Surface texture, Load-carrying capacity

1 Introduction

Remanufacturing is a kind of green manufacturing technology which has achieved good social and economic benefits [1–3] and is widely adopted in automotive [4, 5], construction machinery [6, 7], IT equipment [8, 9] and other fields [10]. In the process of remanufacturing, disassembly is an essential process, which will directly affect the quality and economic benefits of the product [11].

Interference fit is used extensively in the fixed connection of mechanical parts because of its simple structure and super torque transmitting capability [12, 13]. However, the interference fit is difficult to be disassembled because of the high contact pressure on the fitting surface [14].

In order to reduce the difficulty of disassembly, the means of thermal expansion [15–17], cold contraction [18] or the injection of high-pressure oil into the fitting surface [19] are often used in engineering practice to realize the separation of fitting parts. The key point of these methods is to reduce the contact pressure of fitting surface to separate the parts easily. However, in the operation process, the contact pressure is difficult to be reduced to zero and the matching surface is also difficult to maintain non-contact state especially in the case of with large interference fit. That means the disassembly damage on fitting surface is hard to be avoided.



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

^{*}Correspondence:

Dan Zhou

zhoudan80@126.com

¹ Key Laboratory of Green Design and Manufacturing in Machinery

Industry, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

² CRRC Nanjing Puzhen Co. Ltd, Nanjing 210031, China

After remanufacturing disassembly, several kinds of friction damages (e.g., scratching, furrows and material accumulation) often can be found on the mating surface of the parts with interference fit. These damages present a typical evolution process along the direction of disassembly, beginning with scratches, increasing gradually, and finally evolving to furrows and accumulation of materials [20]. Generally, these damages should be repaired and the cost is closely related to the severity of damages.

These damages are subject to the dry friction between fitting surfaces. The degree of damage is closely related to the properties of material, surface state and contact pressure [21]. For remanufacturing, the material and the contact pressure between the mating surfaces of fitting parts is difficult to be changed, so it can only be explored from the surface state.

In recent years, surface texture [22, 23], as a surface treatment technology, has exhibited a favorable tribological performance in piston rings [24], cutting tools [25], mechanical seals [26, 27], and biomedical application [28]. Inspired by the fact that pit array textures have good anti-wear effect under the condition of dry friction [29], 5 different shapes of pit array textures are created on the specimens' surface, and the reduction effect of texture on the disassembly damage of interference fit is observed by orthogonal experiment. From the L_{25} (5⁴) orthogonal experiments, a kind of pit array texture with great disassembly damage reduction effect is determined.

2 Experiment Details

2.1 Experiment Model of Interference Fit

Large interference fit is commonly used in heavy load cases with high-speed rotation. As shown in Figure 1, torque *T* is transmitted by the static friction torque between the fitting surfaces of cylinder and shaft. And the maximum static friction torque M_f can be calculated as:

$$M_f = \frac{d_f}{2} F_s = \frac{d_f}{2} \mu PS, \tag{1}$$

where d_f is the diameter of the fitting surface, mm; F_s is the maximum static friction force on fitting surface, N; μ is the maximum static friction coefficient of fitting surface; P is the nominal contact pressure on fitting surface,



Figure 1 Interference fit model

MPa; *S* is the nominal area of fitting surface, mm². Generally, $T < M_f$ is the prerequisite that interference fit can work properly. When *P*, *S* and d_f are invariant, the load-carrying capacity of interference fit is determined by μ . So, the key point for the specimens of interference-fit experiment is to obtain a similar friction state on fitting surface, and the nominal contact pressure *P* and static friction coefficient μ on the fitting surface can be effected.

tively controlled.

In the following experiments, a pair of cuboid specimens are adopted as shown in Figure 2. The contact surface between upper specimen and lower specimen simulates the fitting surface. The contact pressure P can be controlled by the vertical force F_1 . And the friction coefficient μ on the contact surface of the specimens can be adjusted by the state of surface topography. In order to decrease the manufacturing difficulty, the contact surfaces of specimens are simplified as planes, which are different from the actual cylindrical surfaces of interference-fitting parts. But this difference doesn't affect the friction state between fitting surfaces. The relationship between *P*, μ , *S* and *M*_f does not change, and the friction damage on contact surface caused by the relative sliding between specimens is similar with the friction damage on fitting surface because of disassembly process.

The specific information of specimens is described in Table 1. The area of contact surface is 10 mm \times 10 mm.



(a) Disassembly damage simulation experiment



(b) Load-carrying capacity simulation experiment

Figure 2 Simplified experiment model of interference fit (contact area: $10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}; F1$, the vertical force applied by experiment platform; F2, the horizontal force applied by experiment platform)

KMN and 40CrNiMo7 are select as the materials for upper and lower specimen which are common used for impeller and spindle of centrifugal compressor. The shrink range between the impeller and spindle is often very large, sometimes up to 1.5‰ of the diameter of fitting surface [30].

Changing the installation mode of lower specimen, the simulation experiment of disassembly damage and the test of load-carrying capacity can be realized respectively.

As shown in Figure 2a, the contact pressure on the surface is simulated by the force of the F_1 and the disassembly force is simulated by F_2 . During the disassembly damage simulation experiment, the lower specimen will be moved by F_2 . When the moving distance reaches 30 mm or the lower specimen is stuck because of surface damage and unable to move, the experiment ends. After the experiments, the surface damage of the specimens will be observed by Trimos-CL3 three-dimensional surface topography instrument and Optika XDS-3MET microscope.

As shown in Figure 2b, the lower specimen is rotated 90° to simulate the direction of static friction force between the actual parts, which is same as the load-carrying direction. The contact pressure on the fitting surface during service is simulated by F_1 . The load to be carried by the interference fit is simulated by F_2 . In the experiment, F_2 is gradually increased until a relative displacement occurs between the two specimens. At this point, F_2 exceeds the load-carrying capacity of the interference fit and the joint fails; the load-carrying capacity experiment is completed. The ratio of F_2 to F_1 is the maximum static friction coefficient (μ) which can be used as a measurement for the load-carrying capacity of interference fit.

2.2 Specimens Processing

Several kinds of pit array texture are manufactured on the surface of lower specimens by HGTECH LSF20D fiber laser marking machine. The following parameters are employed for the texture processing: 1064 nm laser wavelength, 4 W average power, 16.9851 W·s/cm² power density, 500 mm/s scanning speed, 20 kHz repetition rate, using a circular beam spot of 0.06 mm diameter. The upper specimens are not textured. After the texture processed, the burr around pit edge is removed by 1000# sandpaper. When the non-textured contrast experiment is carried out, the surfaces of the non-textured lower specimens are treated with the same process. Before the experiment, the upper and lower specimens are cleaned by acetone. After clamped in the platform of experiment, the specimens are cleaned one more time by alcohol. And when the surface goes dry, the experiment can be started.

2.3 Experiment Platform

The experiment platform is shown in Figure 3, which adopts a four-guide-pillars structure with three plates in the horizontal direction. The upper specimen is fixed by the upper fixture under the middle plate, which can move up and down along the guide pillars under the action of vertical hydraulic cylinder. The load of the vertical hydraulic cylinder is controlled by the control system to obtain the required force F_1 . The lower specimen is fixed in the lower fixture, which can move along the linear motion rolling guide on the lower plate under the action of horizontal hydraulic cylinder. The load of the horizontal hydraulic cylinder is also controlled by the control system to apply F_2 . The real-time data of the load value and displacement of the two hydraulic cylinders are recorded by sensors.



Figure 3 Experiment platform

Table 1 Materials and properties of specimens

Specimen	Material	Specimen size (mm)	Modulus of elasticity (GPa)	Yield strength (MPa)	Hardness (HRC)
Upper specimen	KMN	10×10×18	281	1006	31-35
Lower specimen	40CrNiMo7	10×40×18	209	800	29-32

Level	Factor A	Factor B	Factor C				
	Equivalent circle diameter of single texture (μm)	Texture surface density (%)	Texture shape				
1	50	10	Square				
2	100	15	Circular				
3	150	20	Elliptical-1				
4	200	25	Elliptical-2				
5	250	30	Rhombic				

2.4 Disassembly Experiment Scheme

To study the damage reduction effect of surface texture for disassembly, the orthogonal experiment method [31, 32] is adopted, and 3 factors and 5 levels are chosen for the disassembly experiments. As shown in Table 2, the factors include: equivalent circle diameter of single texture (Factor A), dimple density of surface texture (Factor B), and texture shape (Factor C). In the experiments, 5 different shapes of texture are considered, and their geometric dimensions are described by the Factor A (equivalent circle diameter of single texture). The equivalent circle diameter (D_e) describes the size of single pit. For the textures with the same value of D_e , their areas of single pit are all equal to the area of the circle with the diameter of D_{e} . The dimple density of texture surface describes the ratio of pit area to total surface area.

The shapes of texture are shown in Figure 4, including square, circular, elliptical-1, elliptical-2 and rhombic, which include most kinds of conventional geometries other than triangle. The triangle is not involved in the experiment because the three angles of triangle limit the effective size of particle collection for single texture pit. Thus, its effect of damage reduction will not exceed that of the shaped adopted in the experiment.

The center distances of pits on a textured specimen are equidistant in the direction of row and column. And the depth of these textures is fixed as 40 μ m. For the two kinds of ellipses (or the rhombus), their length ratio of short axis (or diagonal) to long axis (or diagonal) is 0.618.

In the disassembly experiment, F_1 is set as 12.5 kN (the nominal contact pressure *P* between specimens is equal to 125 MPa), and the degree of disassembly damage will be evaluated by the value of damage comprehensively evaluation (*Q*) through entropy method [20]. The bigger value of *Q* means the greater damage. 6 parameters, S_{ν} , $S_{p'}$, $S_{q'}$, S_{tr} , V_{mp} , and S_a are selected as the indexes for entropy evaluation from ISO25178 [33]. The



0 <	$> \circ$	$^{\circ}$	0	0	$^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	× 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}$	0	0	0	$^{\circ}$	0	0	$^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}$	0	$^{\circ}$	0	$^{\circ}$	0	0	0	0	\sim
04	0	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	 Q 	0	0	0	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	\diamond	\diamond
0 <	$> \circ$	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	× <>	0	0	0	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond
0 <	$> \diamond$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	0	× 🔿	0	0	\diamond	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond																						
⇒ <	$\diamond \diamond$	\diamond	0	0	0	~	- 0	• •	· 💠	\diamond																															
0 <	$\diamond \diamond$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	 	0	0	\diamond																														
0 <	$\diamond \diamond$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	\diamond																														
0 <	$> \circ$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	- 0	- 0	0	0	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	\diamond																										
<	$\diamond \diamond$	\diamond	¢	\diamond	¢	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	0	0	0	- 0	• <>	• •	• 🗢	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	¢	\diamond	¢	0	¢	\diamond	¢	\diamond	¢	¢	¢	¢	¢	¢	\diamond
<	$> \diamond$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	• <>	0	0	\diamond																															
<	$> \circ$	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\sim																
<u> </u>	$> \circ$	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	0	0	0	<u>ه</u>	0	0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\circ	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	0	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	0	\diamond							
<u> </u>	$> \circ$	0	÷	0	÷	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	٠Ģ	• 0	· \diamond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	÷	0	<u></u>	0	Ŷ	÷.	<u></u>	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	<u></u>	0	<u></u>	0
<u> </u>	$> \circ$	\diamond	0	$^{\circ}$	0	\diamond	\diamond	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\circ	\diamond	0	0	0	0	<u>ې ج</u>	0	0	\diamond	0	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	\diamond	0	$^{\circ}$	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	0	\diamond
<u> </u>	> Q	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	۰Q	9	۰ Ç	۰Q	Ŷ	0	0	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	0	0	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ô.	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	0
$\diamond <$	$> \circ$	Ŷ	ò	ç	ò	ò	ç	0	0	0	Ŷ	۰¢	· Ç	· Ç	ç	۰ç	٠Q	Ŷ	0	0	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	ç	0	0	0	ò	ò	Ó.	ò	ç	Ŷ	Ŷ	ò	Ŷ	Ŷ	ò	ò	Ŷ	0
0 <	$> \diamond$	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond	\diamond	0	0	0	- 0	- 0	> <	- 0	~ <>	\diamond	\sim	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond	0	\diamond	0	\diamond													

(e) Rhombic texture

Figure 4 Schematic diagram of the texture of different shape

physical meanings of each index are as follows. S_{ν} , maximum depth of material surface; S_p , maximum height of material surface; $S_{q\nu}$ root mean square deviation of material surface; $S_{t\nu}$, texture aspect ratio; V_{mp} , average surface volume of material; S_a , arithmetic mean of surface height of the material.

3 Results and Analysis

3.1 Experiment Result and Range Analysis

Orthogonal experiment can distinguish the influence degree of factors on the experiment results and draw out the excellent parameters scheme with fewer times of experiment. In the disassembly experiment, a L_{25} (5⁴) orthogonal table is adopt, which contents 25 kinds of parameter scheme. Each scheme is carried out once, and the final comprehensive evaluation values of disassembly damage (*Q*) are shown in Table 3.

No.	Parameter scheme	Q	No.	Parameter scheme	Q
1	$A_1B_1C_1$	0.63	14	$A_{3}B_{4}C_{1}$	0.54
2	$A_1B_2C_2$	0.48	15	$A_3B_5C_2$	0.25
3	$A_1B_3C_3$	0.52	16	$A_4B_1C_4$	0.61
4	$A_1B_4C_4$	0.45	17	$A_4B_2C_5$	0.78
5	$A_1B_5C_5$	0.60	18	$A_4B_3C_1$	0.76
6	$A_2B_1C_2$	0.34	19	$A_4B_4C_2$	0.44
7	$A_2B_2C_3$	0.36	20	$A_4B_5C_3$	0.58
8	$A_2B_3C_4$	0.28	21	$A_5B_1C_5$	1.13
9	$A_{2}B_{4}C_{5}$	0.55	22	$A_5B_2C_1$	1.43
10	$A_2B_5C_1$	0.45	23	$A_5B_3C_2$	0.65
11	$A_{3}B_{1}C_{3}$	0.46	24	$A_5B_4C_3$	0.82
12	$A_3B_2C_4$	0.30	25	$A_5B_5C_4$	0.73
13	$A_{3}B_{3}C_{5}$	0.58			
		Factor A		Factor B	Factor C
$\overline{K_1}$		0.54		0.63	0.76
$\overline{K_2}$		<u>0.40</u>		0.67	<u>0.43</u>
$\overline{K_3}$		0.42		0.56	0.55
$\overline{K_4}$		0.63		0.56	0.47
$\overline{K_5}$		0.95		0.52	0.73
R		0.55		0.15	0.33
Factor influen	ce order	A>C>B			
Excellent sche	eme	$A_{2}B_{5}C_{2}$			

 Table 3
 Experiments result and range analysis

Range analysis is used to evaluate the effect of each factor on damage reduction. And the results are shown in Table 3. $\overline{K_i}$ is the mean value of Q for level i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) of factor (A, B or C). R is the range of $\overline{K_i}$. According to the value of R, the influence order of factors on the disassembly damage is found as follows: Factor A (equivalent circle diameter of single texture) > Factor C (texture shape) > Factor B (texture surface density).

Since the smaller Q represents the smaller disassembly damage, the factors in the excellent scheme should take the levels with the minimum value of $\overline{K_i}$, which is $A_2B_5C_2$. And the texture described by this scheme is a kind of circular texture with a surface density of 30% and a diameter of 100 µm. But this scheme dose not exit in the orthogonal table. So, its reduction effect of disassembly damage needs to be verified, and the relevant content is described in Section 3.2.

3.2 Verification of Damage Reduction Effect for the Excellent Texture

To verify the damage reduction effect of excellent texture scheme (circular texture with a surface density of 30% and a diameter of 100 μ m), a group of comparative experiments on the disassembly of excellent textured and non-textured specimens are carried out. The material of specimens is same as the previous experiments and the value of F_1 is set as 12.5 kN (P = 125 MPa). The surface topographies and surface parameters of the specimens after disassembly are shown in Figure 5 and Table 4, which are measured by Trimos-CL3 three-dimensional surface topography instrument.

As shown in Figure 5, the disassembly damage of the non-textured specimen is much greater. There are several furrows and adhesion accumulation damage on it, but only a few small scratches on the textured surface. In Figure 5a, the picture is mainly composed of red and yellow regions. The yellow regions show the existence of pit texture; and the lines in the red area represent the scratches formed by disassembly. In Figure 6b, according to the height ruler, it can be estimated that the height of the adhesion accumulation damage is about 100–600 μ m, and the depth of the furrows is about 100–300 μ m.

As shown in Table 4, the damage evaluation value (Q) of the excellent textured surface is 0.25, which is much smaller than that of the non-textured surface. This shows that the excellent texture has a positive effect on the reduction of surface damage.

In addition, the *Q* value of the excellent texture scheme is smaller than that of most schemes in Table 3 except for the No. 15 scheme $(A_3B_5C_2)$, whose value is also 0.25. The



(a) Excellent textured specimen after once disassembly Height(mm)



Figure 5 Surface topography image of disassembly damage

difference between No. 15 scheme and excellent scheme is that the value of factor A (Equivalent circle diameter of single texture) is 150 µm, which is 50 µm larger than the latter. And the cause of this phenomenon is described in Section 3.3.

3.3 Reduction Mechanism of Disassembly Damage

The disassembly damage of interference fit comes from the dry friction between the parts' fitting surfaces caused by the disassembly movement. As literatures shown, surface texture can effectively reduce the dry friction damage is mainly because of its perfect ability of abrasive particle collection [34]. This is also the main reason why the textured surface can significantly reduce the disassembly damage for the interference fit.

The particle collection capacity of texture is mainly affected by two aspects, the total particle collection capacity of textured surface and the effective collection size of single texture pit. Besides the particle collection capacity, for the textured surface, the severity of disassembly damage is also affected by the damage formation and evolution probability on the part of surface without pits.

Figure 6 shows the relationships between factors and comprehensive evaluation value of disassembly damage (Q) according to the range analysis data in Table 3. In the range of 10% to 30% of texture density, the value of Q has a decreasing trend as the texture surface density increased (Figure 6a). It is because that the total volume of pits on the textured surface is increased as the texture surface density increased. Thus the texture pits can collect more particles, and the disassembly damage is getting lower.

In the range of 50 μ m to 250 μ m of equivalent circle diameter, the value of *Q* decreases first and then increases. The diameter 100 μ m shows a perfect ability of damage reduction (Figure 6b). Among the 25 kinds of parameter scheme, the reduction effect of diameter 50 μ m is not satisfactory. The reason is that its effective collection size of single texture does not meet the requirement for particle collection. And the textures with diameters of 200 μ m and 250 μ m also having unsatisfactory damage reduction effect is mainly due to their higher damage formation and evolution probability in the area without pits.

As Figure 7 shown, textured surface consists of two kinds of zone, the pits zone and the without pits zone. Dry friction happens in the zones without pits during the disassembly process. The particles in the area of non-textured row cannot be captured by pits, and the disassembly damage is more likely to occur and become serious as the disassembly distance increases. And in the textured row, the occurrence and evolution of disassembly damage is also emerged on the part of surface without pits, but the evolution process of damage is often interrupted when the particles are captured

Table 4 Surface topography parameters of disassembly damage

	<i>S_ν</i> (μm)	S _p (μm)	<i>S_q</i> (μm)	S _{tr}	<i>V_{mp}</i> (mm ³ /mm ²)	S _a (μm)	Q				
Non-textured	324	628	41.1	0.807	0.00616	17.3	10.67				
Excellent textured	25.50	5.98	1.06	0.015	0.000016	0.50	0.25				
Decrease rate (%)	92.13	99.05	97.42	98.14	99.74	97.11	97.66				



(b) Variation of Q with the equivalent circle diameter of single texture



Figure 6 Variation of comprehensive evaluation value of disassembly damage (*Q*) with 3 factors



circular texture as an example)

by the pits. Therefore, for a texture unit, the severity of disassembly damage is closely related to the area of without pits zone in the non-textured row (S_n) and the area of without pits zone in the textured row (S_m) . Between them, S_n has a more significant influence on the degree of disassembly damage. Taking the circular texture as an example, the values of S_n and S_m can be calculated by

$$S_n = n \times L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} - 1\right) D_e \times \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} D_e$$
$$= \left(\frac{\pi}{4c} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}\right) D_e^2,$$
(2)

$$S_m = L \times D_e - \pi \frac{D_e^2}{4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} - \frac{1}{4}\pi\right)D_e^2,$$
 (3)

where *n* is the width of non-textured row, μ m; *L* is the size of texture unit, μ m; *c* is the texture surface density; D_e is the equivalent circle diameter of single pit, μ m.

The values of S_n and S_m of other texture shapes also can be calculated according to their geometric characteristics. Table 5 shows the calculated results of the 25 texture schemes in Table 3. And the mean value $\overline{K_i}$ (*i*=1, 2, 3, 4, 5) of S_n and S_m for the 5 levels (50 µm, 100 µm, 150 µm, 200 µm, 250 µm) of Factor A (equivalent circle diameter of single texture) is described at the bottom of Table 5, which show that the texture with a larger diameter has larger values of S_n and S_m . This is why the disassembly reduction effect of texture with a diameter 200 µm or 250 µm is lower than the texture with a diameter 100 µm.

In addition, although the mean values of S_n and S_m for the diameter 150 µm exceeds the value for the diameter 100 µm many, the experiment results show that the disassembly damage reduction effect of diameter 150 µm and 100 µm is very close (Figure 6b), which is mainly due to the fact that the effective collection size of single pit of the texture with diameter 150 µm is larger than that with 100 µm, so that the poor damage reduction effect is partially improved. That is why the *Q* value of No. 15 scheme is much closed to the excellent texture scheme described in Section 3.2.

The above explains the influence of Factor *A* (Equivalent circle diameter of single texture) and Factor *B* (Texture surface density) on the disassembly damage reduction ability of pit texture. As for Factors *C* (shown in Figure 6c), Circular and Elliptical-2 have better damage reduction effect. But for the S_n mentioned above that plays an important role in the damage reduction effect, these two shapes have no absolute advantage, especially the Elliptical-2 (shown in Table 6). The mean value ($\overline{K_i}$) of S_n for the Elliptical-2 is as high as the 4th position, which is only lower than the Rhombic. Although the S_m value of Elliptical-2 is not so bad and has a certain improve on the damage reduction effect, it is not enough to explain the excellent performance of Circular and Elliptical-2 on the damage reduction effect. Furthermore, according to the

Table 6, the S_n and S_m value of Square is not the biggest, but its damage reduction effect (*Q*) is in the last place (5th).

The reason can be explained by the distribution state of contact pressure on the fitting surface. Thus, the contact pressure analysis of four different shape texture units is carried out, and the results are shown in Figure 8. Among them, Ellipse-1 and Ellipse-2 are classified into one class (Ellipse) because there is no different for the contact pressure analysis between them. The four texture units have the same equivalent circle diameter (100 μ m) and the same texture surface density (30%). And the material parameters, constraints, loads and element methods of the four texture units are set the same value when analyzed.

At a load of 100 MPa, the surface contact pressure is lower in the middle surfaces of texture units and higher in the edges of the pit. During disassembly slipping, the edge areas will emerge an intense friction. And the degree of disassembly damage is positive to the area ratio of large contact pressure on the fitting surface. To get the area ratio, the contact pressure is distinguished into '<140 MPa', '140–170 MPa', '170–200 MPa' and '>200 MPa' four grades.

Statistical results show that the area ratio of '>200 MPa' ($P_{>200MPa-R}$) of Ellipse texture unit is 3.01%, which is the lowest among the four units, while the value of square is the largest. And the $P_{>200MPa-R}$ value of Circular is 4.52%, listed in the 2nd place. Moreover, the values of the minimum contact pressure (P_{min-V}) are 91.62 MPa (Ellipse, 1st), 105.09 MPa (Circular, 2nd), 121.58 MPa (Square, 3rd) and 123.4 MPa (Rhombic, 4th). This explains why the disassembly damage reduction ability

of Ellipse and Circular is better than Square, although they do not have an absolute advantage in their S_n and S_m values.

For the difference of damage reduction effect between Ellipse-1 and Ellipse-2 (shown in Figure 6c), it needs to be explained from their collection ability of abrasive. In the process of interference fit disassembly, the abrasive particles in the texture pit are not keeping still, which tend to be pushed forward to the front end of pit by the new fallen particles (Figure 9). Therefore, the size of the abrasive particles that have entered the pit will determine whether the new particles can fall into the pit.

As shown in Figure 10, two circles with different sizes represent two abrasive particles with different diameters. If the small particle enters the pit earlier (Figure 10a), it will occupy the front end gradually. When the larger abrasive particle meets the pit, the small particle will be forced to move under the extrusion effect of the larger one. Finally, the large and small particles can be caught by the pit together. In this case, Ellipse-1 and Ellipse-2 can be regarded as having the same particle collection ability.

Please replace "a)" with "(a)".If the large abrasive particle occupies the front end of the pit earlier (Figure 10b), it is difficult to be pushed away from the front end of pit by the small one because the bottom of the pit is rough and the friction resistance is large. This makes the new smaller abrasive particle can be collected by Ellipse-1 easily but hard by Ellipse-2. So in this case, the particle collection ability of Ellipse-1 is better than that of Ellipse-2. This gives the reason why the damage reduction ability of Ellipse-1 and Ellipse-2 is very close, but the former is slightly higher than the latter (Figure 6c).

Table 5 S_n and S_m of the 25 texture schemes in Table 3 and their mean values for the 5 levels of Factor A

No.	S _n (μm²)	<i>S_m</i> (μm²)	No.	<i>S_n</i> (μm²)	S _m (μm²)	No.	<i>S_n</i> (μm²)	S _m (μm²)
1	13425.84	4245.62	10	11840.60	6485.36	19	54765.55	39482.23
2	7369.40	3757.07	11	96503.72	56648.92	20	22391.28	43058.57
3	3515.52	2374.97	12	77335.73	22802.54	21	318298.35	123488.11
4	4370.53	1519.95	13	44426.74	26259.09	22	200506.15	77655.70
5	2559.52	2021.96	14	35342.92	17671.46	23	121582.95	74766.59
6	50514.86	20170.97	15	22499.38	18734.03	24	55433.41	140916.13
7	23252.37	17326.54	16	226034.26	56709.08	25	84126.18	30411.05
8	23691.46	7724.47	17	119258.84	58764.75			
9	13952.51	9609.43	18	86831.49	38832.22			
		ĸ	, 1	$\overline{K_2}$	$\overline{K_3}$		<u>K</u> 4	$\overline{K_5}$
Factor A (Equivale circle diameter of single texture)	alent S _n of	6	248.16	24650.36	55221.7	70	101856.28	155989.41
	S _m	2	783.92	12263.35	28423.2	21	47369.37	89447.52

Factor C (texture shape)	Index										
	Q		S _n		S _m		Р _{>200 МРа-<i>R</i>}		P _{min-V}		
	$\overline{K_i}$	Ordinal	$\overline{K_i}$ (µm ²)	Ordinal	$\overline{K_i}$ (µm ²)	Ordinal	Value (%)	Ordinal	Value (MPa)	Ordinal	
Square	0.762	5th	69589.40	3rd	28978.07	2nd	4.91	4th	121.58	3rd	
Circular	0.432	1st	51346.43	2nd	31382.18	3rd	4.52	2nd	105.09	2nd	
Elliptical-1	0.548	3rd	40219.26	1st	52065.03	5th	3.10	1st	91.62	1st	
Elliptical-2	0.474	2nd	83111.63	4th	23833.42	1st					
Rhombic	0.728	4th	99699.19	5th	44028.67	4th	4.77	3rd	123.4	4th	

Table 6 Values and the ordinal number of indexes for the 5 levels of Factor C

The mean values $\overline{K_i}$ of Q come from the data of Table 3

The mean values $\overline{K_i}$ of S_n and S_m are calculated according the data with the same level of Factor C in Table 5

P_{>200 MPa-R} is the area ratio of the surface contact pressure greater than 200 MPa on the surface of texture units under 100 MPa load, and these units' texture surface density is 30% and the equivalent circle diameter is 100 μm

P_{min-ν} is the minimum contact pressure on the surface of texture units under 100 MPa load, and these units' texture surface density is 30% and the equivalent circle diameter is 100 μm



Figure 8 Contact pressure on the surface of texture units: **a** square texture unit, **b** circular texture unit, **c** elliptical texture unit, **d** rhombic texture unit

4 Load-carrying Capacity of Interference Fit with Excellent Damage Reduction Texture

Although the disassembly damage of interference fit can be greatly reduced by adding pit texture, the primary service requirement is the load-carrying capacity for an interference fit.

Generally, for a pair of interference-fit parts, the texture added on the mating surface does not change the nominal contact pressure (*P*) on the mating surface or the dimensions of the fitting parts (d_f). So, according to the contents of Section 2.1, the load-carrying of interference-fit with the excellent damage reduction texture



Figure 9 The movement trend of the particle in the texture pit

is closely related to the maximum static friction coefficient (μ) of the mating surface.

In order to compare the load-carrying capacity of the excellent damage reduction textured surface with that of the non-textured surface, 14 groups of load-carrying capacity simulation experiment are carried out. Each group contains 3 pairs of upper and lower specimens. Among which, 1-7 groups are non-textured specimens and 8-14 groups are specimens with excellent texture. The maximum static friction coefficients (μ) are measured as shown in Figure 11.

It shows that within the pressure (*P*) range of 50-200 MPa, the static friction coefficients (μ) of excellent textured surface increase about 0.1, and the range of increase is close to 40%, which means the load-carrying capacity is increased synchronously.

The contrast experiments in Figure 11 are based on the surface of the new grinding specimens. The measured static friction coefficient (μ) represents the loadcarrying of the new product.

After disassembly, the state of textured surface will be changed due to the dry friction between the interfaces. In order to explore the change of the load-carrying capacity for the mating surface of interference fit after reassembly, a comparative experiment is carried out for the excellent textured specimens. Within the pressure (P) range of 50–150 MPa, a serial of three times



(b) Big particle occupies the front end of the pit earlier Figure 10 Comparison of particle collection ability between Ellipse-1 and Ellipse-2

load-carrying capacity experiment for the same pair of upper and lower specimens is carried out. That is to say, for the same pair of specimens, the values of static friction coefficient (μ) in 3 different states are measured respectively, which are the μ for "initial textured surface", "textured surface after once disassembly" and "textured surface after twice disassembles".

As shown in Figure 12, the static friction coefficient (μ) of texture surface decreases with the increasement of disassembly times within the pressure (P) range of 50–150 MPa. After twice disassembly, the static friction coefficient (μ) is still higher than that of the non-textured surface, but the difference is no longer significant.

Figure 13 shows the photos of excellent textured surface before disassembly, after once disassembly and after twice disassembly. It can be seen that there are a series of microscopic scratches parallel to the direction of the disassembly on the surface of the specimen after disassembly. With the increasing of disassembly times, the annular melting zone around the pits is gradually broken, and the amount of abrasive debris in the pits increases gradually. The abrasive debris is the particles shed from the disassembly surface because of dry friction between the disassembly sliding surfaces.

According to Figure 13c, the annular melting zone is broken severely after twice disassembly. And the value of μ is decreased a lot and closed to the non-textured surface at the same time. So, there may be some close relationship between the annular melting zone and the increase of load-carrying capacity.



Figure 11 Comparison of static friction coefficient

5 Conclusions

During the process of remanufacturing disassembly, interference fit parts are difficult to be separated without damage because of the dry friction between the mating surface. In order to reduce the damage, 5 shapes of pit array textures are produced on the fitting surface. The damage reduction mechanism of textured surface and the load-carrying capacity of the excellent texture are studied through disassembly experiments and loadcarrying capacity experiments. According to the experimental results, the following conclusions are obtained:

- (1) The order of influence of texture parameters on disassembly damage is as follows: single texture equivalent circle diameter > texture shape > texture surface density. The effect of single texture equivalent circle diameter and texture shape is obviously higher than that of texture surface density.
- (2) The excellent texture for interference fit is determined to be a kind of circular texture with a surface density of 30% and a diameter of 100 μ m. The dam-



Figure 12 The maximum static friction coefficient (μ) of surface in 4 states



(a) Initial textured surface before disassembly

The direction of disassembly



damage

The broken melting zone

(b) Textured surface after once disassembly

The direction of disassembly



(c) Textured surface after twice disassembles

Figure 13 Microphotograph of the excellent textured surface before and after disassembly

age evaluation value (Q) of the excellent textured surface is 0.25, which is much smaller than that of the non-textured surface.

(3) The reason of the excellent texture can effectively reduce disassembly damage is because of its outstanding particles collection capacity and the low probability of damage formation and evolution on the part of surface without pits. The particles collection capacity is mainly affected by two aspects: the total particle collection capacity of textured surface and the effective collection size of single texture pit. The damage formation and evolution probability on the part of surface without pits is closely related to three elements: the area of without pits zone in the non-textured row (S_n) , the area of without pits zone in the textured row (S_m) and the area ratio of large contact pressure on the fitting surface.

(4) As the static friction coefficient (μ) of the excellent textured surface is increased about 0.1 than that of the non-textured surface within the contact pressure range of 50-200 MPa on the mating surface, the excellent texture has a significant increasing effect on the load-carrying capacity. And the increasing effect still exists even after twice disassembly process. And there is a close connection between the annular melting zone around texture and the load-carrying capacity of the interference fit.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

DZ was in charge of the whole trial: YX wrote the manuscript: XG assisted with sampling and laboratory analyses; HH and SL gave some research ideas and advises. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' Information

Dan Zhou, born in 1980, is currently an associate professor at Key Laboratory of Green Design and Manufacturing in Machinery Industry, Hefei University of Technology, China. Her research interests include remanufacturing and recycling. E-mail: zhoudan80@126.com.

Yi Xu, born in 1996, is a master graduated from Key Laboratory of Green Design and Manufacturing in Machinery Industry, Hefei University of Technology, China. Xin Gao, born in 1993, is a master graduated from Key Laboratory of Green Design and Manufacturing in Machinery Industry, Hefei University of Technology, China

Haihong Huang, born in 1980, is currently a professor at Hefei University of Technology, China. His research interests include sustainable design and remanufacturing

Songjiang Lv, born in 1987, is currently an engineer at CRRC Nanjing Puzhen Co. Ltd, China.

Funding

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51405121).

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Received: 10 January 2023 Revised: 10 January 2023 Accepted: 3 April 2023

Published online: 25 April 2023

References

[1] ECLi, PJShi, BSXu, et al. Analysis and reflection on the policies and regulations of remanufacturing in China. Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 2015, 51(19): 117-123. (in Chinese)

- [2] C H Liu, Q H Zhu, F F Wei, et al. A review on remanufacturing assembly management and technology. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019, 105(11): 4798-4808.
- [3] X L Yuan, M Y Liu, Q Yuan, et al. Transitioning China to a circular economy through remanufacturing: A comprehensive review of the management institutions and policy system. Resources Conservation and Recycling, 2020, 161: 1-16.
- [4] B M Liu, D J Chen, W J Zhou, et al. The effect of remanufacturing and direct reuse on resource productivity of China's automotive production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2018, 194: 309-317.
- [5] K Chakraborty, S Mondal, K Mukherjee. Critical analysis of enablers and barriers in extension of useful life of automotive products through remanufacturing. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2019, 227: 1117-1135.
- [6] M Huang, P X Yi, T L Shi, et al. A modal interval based method for dynamic decision model considering uncertain quality of used products in remanufacturing. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 2018, 29(4): 925-935.
- [7] L S Xiao, W L Liu, Q H Guo, et al. Comparative life cycle assessment of manufactured and remanufactured loading machines in China. Resources Conservation and Recycling, 2018, 131: 225-234.
- [8] J S C Low, Y T Ng. Improving the economic performance of remanufacturing systems through flexible design strategies: A case study based on remanufacturing laptop computers for the cambodian market. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2018, 27(4): 503-527.
- [9] S J Cho, H B Jun, D Kiritsis. Heuristic algorithms for maximising the total profit of end-of-life computer remanufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017, 55(5): 1350-1367.
- [10] K Kamigaki, M Matsumoto, Y A Fatimah. Remanufacturing and refurbishing in developed and developing countries in Asia - A case study in photocopiers. Procedia CIRP, 2017, 61: 645-650.
- [11] B Yu, E Wu, C Chen, et al. A general approach to optimize disassembly sequence planning based on disassembly network: A case study from automotive industry. Advances in Production Engineering & Management, 2017, 12(4): 305-320.
- [12] T Apatay, E Arslan, W Mack. Elastic-plastic design of a rotating shrink fit with functionally graded hub. Archive of Applied Mechanics, 2017, 87(11): 1829-1843.
- [13] K Ning, J M Wang, H W Jiang, et al. Multi-objective intelligent cooperative design for the multilayer interference fit. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2019.
- [14] C P Wang, H Y Qi, W X Hao, et al. Three-dimensional contact surface modeling and stress analysis of interference fit based on cylindricity error. *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, 2022, 92(3): 993-1014.
- [15] T Apatay, E Arslan, W Mack. Effects of homogeneous and inhomogeneous heating on rotating shrink fits with annular inclusion and functionally graded hub. *Journal of Thermal Stresses*, 2019, 42(11): 1458-1479.
- [16] S J Chu, T K Jeong, E H Jung. Effect of radial interference on torque capacity of press- and shrink-fit gears. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 2016, 17(5): 763-768.
- [17] A D Taglia, G Campatelli. Design and performance assessment of a HSM tool holder. *CISM Courses and Lectures*, 2005, 486: 533-541.
- [18] F Wang, S Zhang, S F Hao, et al. The nondestructive disassembly method of interference fit of sleeve-base structure in the case of cooling excitation. *IOT with Smart Systems*, 2019: 455–469.
- [19] J Shen, S L Rao, G F Liu, et al. FEM analysis of hydraulic dismantling feasibility on shaft-sleeve interference fits. *Advanced Materials Research*, 2013, 712-715(2): 1116-1121.
- [20] D Zhou, S Lan, H H Huang, et al. Nondestructive surface threshold definition for remanufacturing disassembly of interference fit. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*. 2018, 19(11): 1735-1743.
- [21] S Z WEN. Principles of tribology, 4th edn. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press, 2012.
- [22] J Geng, S C Chen, S S Xin, et al. Surface/interface texture enhanced tribological properties of graphene sheets embedded carbon films. Tribology International, 2021, 163: 1-9.
- [23] M Sedlacek, B Podgornik, A Ramalho, et al. Influence of geometry and the sequence of surface texturing process on tribological properties. *Tribol*ogy International, 2017, 115: 268-273.
- [24] X Y Liang, X H Wang, Y Liu, et al. Simulation and experimental investigation on friction reduction by partial laser surface texturing on piston ring. *Tribology Transactions*, 2019, 63(2): 371–381.

- [25] R Duan, J X Deng, S T Lei, et al. A study on new surface textured tools for inhibition of derivative cutting. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering – Transactions of the ASME*, 2020, 141(12).
- [26] T Y Chen, J H Ji, Y H Fu, et al. Tribological performance of UV picosecond laser multi-scale composite textures for C/SiC mechanical seals: Theoretical analysis and experimental verification. *Ceramics International*, 2021, 47(16): 23162-23180.
- [27] X Y Wang, M Khonsari, S Y Li, et al Experimental verification of textured mechanical seal designed using multi-objective optimization. *Industrial Lubrication and Tribology*, 2019, 71(6): 766-771.
- [28] I Shivakoti, G Kibria, R Cep, et al. Laser Surface texturing for biomedical applications: A review. *Coatings*, 2021, 11(2).
- [29] Y Q Xing, J X Deng, Z Wu, et al. High friction and low wear properties of laser-textured ceramic surface under dry friction. *Optics & Laser Technol*ogy, 2017, 93: 24-32.
- [30] C Y Zhou, H W Li, X Wang. The interference fit for centrifugal compressor and the stress analysis for impeller construction. *Compressor Blower and Fan Technology*, 1998, (3): 8-10+3-4. (in Chinese)
- [31] H Quan, Y Guo, R N Li, et al. Optimization design and experimental study of vortex pump based on orthogonal test. *Science Progress*, 2020, 103(1).
- [32] Y Yang, L Zhou, HT Zhou, et al. Optimal design of slit impeller for low specific speed centrifugal pump based on orthogonal test. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 2021, 9(2).
- [33] International Organization for Standardization. ISO 25178-2:2012 Geometrical product specifications (GPS) - Surface texture: Areal - Part 2: Terms, definitions and surface texture parameters. Switzerland, 2012. http://www.iso.org.
- [34] Z H Guo, F M Deng, L Zhang, et al. Fabrication and tribological properties of textured diamond coatings on WC-Co cemented carbide surfaces. *Ceramics International*, 2021, 47(4): 5423-5431.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com