DOI: 10.3901/CJME.2014.0616.110, available online at www.springerlink.com; www.cjmenet.com; www.cjmenet.com.cn

# Modeling and Calculation of Impact Friction Caused by Corner Contact in Gear Transmission

ZHOU Changjiang<sup>1, \*</sup> and CHEN Siyu<sup>2</sup>

1 National Engineering Research Center for High Efficiency Grinding, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China 2 State Key Laboratory of High Performance Complex Manufacturing, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China

Received April 16, 2013; revised June 10, 2014; accepted June 16, 2014

Abstract: Corner contact in gear pair causes vibration and noise, which has attracted many attentions. However, teeth errors and deformation make it difficulty to determine the point situated at corner contact and study the mechanism of teeth impact friction in the current researches. Based on the mechanism of corner contact, the process of corner contact is divided into two stages of impact and scratch, and the calculation model including gear equivalent error—combined deformation is established along the line of action. According to the distributive law, gear equivalent error is synthesized by base pitch error, normal backlash and tooth profile modification on the line of action. The combined tooth compliance of the first point lying in corner contact before the normal path is inversed along the line of action, on basis of the theory of engagement and the curve of tooth synthetic compliance & load-history. Combined secondarily the equivalent error with the combined deflection, the position standard of the point situated at corner contact is probed. Then the impact positions and forces, from the beginning to the end during corner contact before the normal path, are calculated accurately. Due to the above results, the lash model during corner contact is founded, and the impact force and frictional coefficient are quantified. A numerical example is performed and the averaged impact friction coefficient based on the presented calculation method is validated. This research obtains the results which could be referenced to understand the complex mechanism of teeth impact friction and quantitative calculation of the friction force and coefficient, and to gear exact design for tribology.

Keywords: gear transmission, corner contact, oblique impact model, impact friction, frictional coefficient

## 1 Introduction

Corner contact, namely, contact outside the normal path of contact, can occur in gear transmission systems due to deformation of the teeth under working load or gear manufacturing error. Meshing outside the normal path of contact may lead to impact owing to sudden fluctuation of rotation velocity. In addition, meshing impact may induce dynamic load, vibration and noise<sup>[1]</sup>.

Three kinds of corner contact (such as run-in corner contact, run-out corner contact and pitch corner contact) can appear in gear transmission system. The influence of pitch corner contact is least and the effect of run-out corner contact is less than run-in corner contact. The effects of corner contact on the transmission characteristics are analyzed based on transmission error models in Refs. [2–5]. Subsequently, partly gear profile error and teeth deformation are taken into account to analyze the corner contact in Refs. [6–7]. However, the corner contact position

and impact force are depended on the gear teeth deformation mutually. The system error and gear teeth' comprehensive deformations are not combined in the corner contact analysis model, in Refs. [1–7], to investigate the corner contact position and impact force.

The impact force is derived from a finite element model in Ref. [8] and the results from basic experimental investigations with simple impact bodies are presented to validate the model. The impact motion is also analyzed in Refs. [9–10], but the meshing impact is different from the corner contact in present work. Besides, in Refs. [11–14], the dynamic load and contact fatigue are analyzed with multiple dynamic contact models under normal mesh condition, but the corner contact pattern and impact friction are not included.

The present work aims to develop calculation of the contact position, impact velocity, impact force and impact friction coefficient. The "gear equivalent error—combined deformation" model is constructed by combining with gear error, deformation and load effect. The initial contact position is searched based on the position criterion and deformation curve, the impact velocity and impact forces are obtained. Finally, the oblique impact friction model is constructed and the impact friction coefficient is deduced subsequently.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: yangtsezhou@hnu.edu.cn

Supported by National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51275160), National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51305462) and National Key Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, Grant No. 2010CB832700)

<sup>©</sup> Chinese Mechanical Engineering Society and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

## 2 Mechanism of Corner Contact and Numerical Model

### 2.1 Mechanism of corner contact

Theoretically, the normal pitch is equal to each other in normal mesh condition, namely,  $p_{b1} = p_{b2}$ . In practice, the gear manufacturing error and teeth deformation may lead to  $p_{b1} \neq p_{b2}$ . When  $p_{b1} < p_{b2}$ , one tooth may get into contact in advance and the corner contact occur as shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, point *E* is theoretical initial meshing point without deformation and gear error. The gear pairs will rotation smoothly when the teeth get in to mesh at point *E*. However, the gear error or tooth deformation with change the meshing pattern and initial meshing point vary to *D* as shown in Fig. 1. Then the name basic radius becomes smaller than the theoretical basic radius and the line velocity along the line of action is different, which leads to mesh impact.



Fig. 1. Illustration of corner contact

Above all, the practice meshing process of corner contact mechanism is: the driven gear engages in advance from point D and the impact occurs. Then the driven gear scratches along the flank of driving gear to point E until the gear mesh along the line of action.

#### 2.2 Calculation model

In gear transmission system, the main effect of factors of load sharing and dynamic load are normal pitch error  $f_{pb}$ , space error  $f_{pt}$  and normal backlash  $j_{bn}$ . According to the mechanism of corner contact, the main sources of the corner contact are the pitch error and normal backlash. Moreover, pitch error is origin from manufacturing and the normal backlash is mainly due to the assembling error. The effect of positive pitch error is larger than that of negative, so the positive pitch error is considered in the present work. In addition, the modification  $e_m$  may be considered<sup>[15]</sup>. Generally, the normal pitch error and normal backlash are

random variables, and follow a standard normal distribution. The effects of normal pitch error, normal backlash and modification on the transmission precision and load sharing are independent<sup>[16]</sup>. These errors are integrated and projected on the line of action as gear equivalent error  $\Delta f_{\Sigma}$ 

$$\Delta f_{\Sigma} = \frac{2f_{pb} + e_m - j_{bn}}{\sqrt{2}},\tag{1}$$

$$e_m = e_{m1} + e_{m2},$$
 (2)

where  $e_{m1}$  and  $e_{m2}$  are the modification of driving and driven gear respectively.

The tooth compliance combined with bending, shear, Hertz contact, root radius and foundation deformation are calculated by CORNELL, et al<sup>[17]</sup> and TAVAKOLI, et al<sup>[18]</sup>. LIN<sup>[19]</sup> improved the model by considering tooth compressive deformation. Based on these results, one can obtain the comprehensive deformation  $\delta_{\Sigma}$  as

$$\delta_{\Sigma} = \delta_{\Sigma F'1} + \delta_{\Sigma F'2} + \delta_H, \qquad (3)$$

$$\delta_{\Sigma F'i} = \delta_{Bi} + \delta_{Ni} + \delta_{Si} + \delta_{Gi}, i = 1, 2, \tag{4}$$

where  $\delta_{\Sigma F'_i}$  is the comprehensive deformation of gear *i* and  $\delta_H$  is the Hertz deformation,  $\delta_{Bi}$ ,  $\delta_{Ni}$ ,  $\delta_{Si}$  and  $\delta_{Gi}$  are bending deformation, compressive deformation, shear deformation and foundation deformation respectively.

When the mesh point arrives at the mesh point F (for gear teeth 1–2) and another mesh point may appear at point E for teeth 3–4 as shown in Fig. 2 theoretically.



Fig. 2. Calculation model of equivalent error and comprehensive deformation

But when the system error and deformation are included, the gear mesh point *D* outside line of action will occur when gear teeth 1–2 arrive at *F'*.  $\overline{F'F''}$  is the deformation along the line of action of gear teeth 1–2 and corresponding roll angle is  $\varepsilon_d$ . And  $\overline{EE''}$  is the comprehensive system error for gear teeth 3–4 and roll angle is  $\varepsilon_e$  in driving gear frame. Then the gear equivalent error-combined deformation model is obtained as

$$\overline{F''F} = \Delta f_{\Sigma} + \delta_{\Sigma}.$$
(5)

The contact deformation with respect to roll angle is shown in Fig.  $3^{[20]}$ , then the deformation when corner contact occurring can be calculated from Eq. (5) and substituting Eqs. (1)–(4) into Eq. (5), has

$$\overline{F'F} = \frac{2f_{pb} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_{mi} - j_{bn}}{\sqrt{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \delta_{F'i} + \delta_{H}.$$
 (6)



Fig. 3. Curve of tooth synthetic compliance and load-history

## 3 Initial Corner Contact Position and Impact Force

#### 3.1 Initial corner contact position

To process the analysis, the initial corner contact point D, as shown in Fig. 1 must be determined. In the triangle  $\triangle O_1 D O_2$ ,

$$\overline{O_1 D} = \left[ r_{a2}^2 + (r_1 + r_2)^2 - 2r_{a2} \cdot (r_1 + r_2) \cos \eta \right]^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

and in  $\triangle PEO_2$ ,

$$\angle PEO_2 = \arcsin\frac{r_2\sin(\pi/2 + \alpha)}{r_{a2}},$$
(8)

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \angle PEO_2, \tag{9}$$

$$\eta = \gamma_2 + \theta_2, \tag{10}$$

$$\theta_2 = \frac{\theta_1}{i},\tag{11}$$

$$\theta_1 = \varepsilon_d + \varepsilon_e. \tag{12}$$

In  $\triangle O_1 N_1 E$  and  $\triangle O_1 N_1 E''$ , as shown in Fig. 2, one has

$$\angle N_1 EO_1 = \arctan \frac{r_{b1}}{EN_1},\tag{13}$$

$$\angle N_1 E'' O_1 = \arctan \frac{r_{b1}}{\overline{EN_1} - \Delta f_{\Sigma}}, \qquad (14)$$

$$\varepsilon_e = \angle N_1 E'' O_1 - \angle N_1 E O_1, \tag{15}$$

$$\overline{N_1 E} = (r_{a1}^2 - r_{b1}^2)^{1/2} - \varepsilon_{\alpha} p_b.$$
(16)

In  $\triangle O_1 N_1 F'$  and  $\triangle O_1 N_1 F''$ ,

$$\angle N_1 F' O_1 = \arctan \frac{r_{b1}}{\overline{FN_1} - (\delta_{\Sigma} + \Delta f_{\Sigma})}, \qquad (17)$$

$$\angle N_1 F'' O_1 = \arctan \frac{r_{b1}}{\overline{FN_1} - \Delta f_{\Sigma}}, \qquad (18)$$

$$\varepsilon_d = \angle N_1 F' O_1 - \angle N_1 F'' O_1, \tag{19}$$

$$\overline{N_1F} = (r_{a1}^2 - r_{b1}^2)^{1/2} - (\varepsilon_{\alpha} - 1)p_b, \qquad (20)$$

where  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  are pitch radius,  $r_{a1}$ ,  $r_{a2}$  are addendum radius,  $r_{b1}$  is the base cycle radius of driving gear,  $p_b$  is the normal pitch,  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  is contact ratio,  $\alpha$  is pressure angle, *i* is transmission ratio. Solving Eqs. (6)–(20), the position of corner contact point can be obtained.

#### 3.2 Impact velocity

As shown in Fig. 4, the whole corner contact process  $D \rightarrow E$ , can be divided into two stages of impact  $D \rightarrow D'$  and scratch  $D' \rightarrow E$ . Due to the corner contact, normal run-in impact velocity  $\Delta V_{Dn}$  and relative velocity  $\Delta V_{Dr}$  along common tangent direction are as follows:

$$\Delta V_{Dn} = V_{D1} \cos \beta_1 - V_{D2} \cos \beta_2, \qquad (21)$$

$$\Delta V_{D\tau} = V_{D2} \sin \beta_2 - V_{D1} \sin \beta_1, \qquad (22)$$

where

$$V_{D1} = \overline{O_1 D} \bullet \omega_1, \, V_{D2} = r_{a2} \bullet \omega_2, \tag{23}$$

$$\beta_1 = \angle DO_1 N_{1'}, \, \beta_2 = \angle O_2 DN_{1'} - \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{cases} \angle DO_{1}N_{1'} = \arccos \frac{r_{b1}}{\overline{O_{1}D}}, \\ \angle O_{2}DN_{1'} = \arccos \frac{r_{a2}^{2} + \overline{DN_{1'}}^{2} - \overline{O_{2}N_{1'}}^{2}}{2r_{a2} \cdot \overline{DN_{1'}}}, \end{cases}$$
(25)

$$\overline{DN_{1'}} = \overline{O_1 D} \sin \angle DO_1 N_{1'}, \qquad (26)$$

$$\overline{O_2 N_{1'}} = \left[ (r_1 + r_2)^2 + r_{b1}^2 - 2(r_1 + r_2)r_{b1} \right]^{1/2} \times \\ \left[ \cos(\angle DO_1 N_{1'} + \angle DO_1 P) \right]^{1/2},$$
(27)

$$\angle DO_1 P = \arccos \frac{(r_1 + r_2)^2 + \overline{O_1 D}^2 - r_{a2}^2}{2(r_1 + r_2) \cdot \overline{O_1 D}},$$
 (28)

where  $\underline{\beta}$ ,  $\underline{\beta}_1$ ,  $\underline{\beta}_2$  are the angles between  $\Delta V$ ,  $V_{D1}$ ,  $V_{D2}$ and  $\overline{N_{1'}N_{2'}}$  respectively,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$  are the angle velocity of driving and driven gear,  $r_{b2}$  is the base cycle radius of driven gear.



Fig. 4. Illustration of impact velocity

Similarly, for end corner contact point D', we have

$$\Delta V_{D'n} = V_{D'1} \cos \beta_1' - V_{D'2} \cos \beta_2', \qquad (29)$$

$$\Delta V_{D'\tau} = V_{D'2} \sin \beta_2' - V_{D'1} \sin \beta_1', \qquad (30)$$

$$V_{D'1} = \overline{O_1 D'} \bullet \omega_1, \ V_{D'2} = V_{D2} + \frac{F_S T_S}{m_{\text{red}}}, \tag{31}$$

$$T_{S} = \left[\frac{m_{\rm red}^{2}}{\pi^{2} \Delta V_{Dn} \rho_{\Sigma} E^{\prime 2}}\right]^{1/5},$$
 (32)

where  $T_s$  is impact duration,  $m_{\rm red}$  is equivalent mass,  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  are the curve radius of gear face 1–2. Equivalent radius

$$\rho_{\Sigma} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \quad \frac{1}{E'} = \frac{1 - \upsilon_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1 - \upsilon_2^2}{E_2}.$$

$$\frac{1}{m_{\rm red}} = \frac{1}{m_{\rm red1}} + \frac{1}{m_{\rm red2}},$$
(33)

$$O_1 D' = \overline{O_1 D} \cos(\Delta \theta) - \overline{O_1 D}^2 \cos^2(\Delta \theta) - \overline{O_1 D}^2 + \overline{DD'}^2 ]^{1/2}, \qquad (34)$$

$$\Delta \theta = \omega_1 \bullet T_S, \tag{35}$$

$$\overline{DD'} = r_{a2} \cdot \angle D'O_2 D, \tag{36}$$

$$\angle D'O_2 D = \frac{\Delta\theta}{i},\tag{37}$$

$$\beta_{1}' = \angle D'O_{1}N_{1''}, \ \beta_{2}' = \angle O_{2}D'N_{1''} - \frac{\pi}{2},$$
(38)

$$\angle D'O_1 N_{1''} = \arccos \frac{r_{b1}}{O_1 D'},\tag{39}$$

$$\angle O_2 D' N_{1''} = \arccos \frac{r_{a2}^2 + \overline{D' N_{1''}}^2 - \overline{O_2 N_{1''}}^2}{2r_{a2} \cdot \overline{D' N_{1''}}}, \qquad (40)$$

$$\overline{D'N_{1''}} = \overline{O_1D'} \sin \angle D'O_1N_{1''}, \qquad (41)$$

$$\overline{O_2 N_{1''}} = \left[ (r_1 + r_2)^2 + r_{b1}^2 - 2(r_1 + r_2)r_{b1} \right]^{1/2} \times \\ \left[ \cos(\angle D'O_1 N_{1''} + \angle D'O_1 P) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{42}$$

$$\angle D'O_1P = \arccos\frac{(r_1 + r_2)^2 + \overline{O_1D'}^2 - r_{a2}^2}{2(r_1 + r_2) \cdot \overline{O_1D'}},$$
(43)

$$\Delta V_n = \left| V_{D'_n} - V_{D_n} \right|,\tag{44}$$

$$\Delta V_{\tau} = \left| V_{D_{\tau}'} - V_{D_{\tau}} \right|,\tag{45}$$

where  $\beta'_1$ ,  $\beta'_2$  are the angle between  $V'_{D_1}$ ,  $V'_{D_2}$  and  $N''_1N''_2$  respectively.

### 3.3 Impact force

The impact force is closely related to impact velocity, impact duration, mesh stiffness and load. The impact forces corresponding to normal and tangential velocity are  $F_{In}$  and  $F_{I\tau}$  as shown in Fig. 5, which transfer to impact kinetic energy and friction dissipation energy. The induced mass of gear pair are

$$m_{\text{red}i} = \frac{J_i}{br_{bi}^2}, i = 1, 2,$$
 (46)

and inertia moment  $J_i$  is

$$J_1 = \frac{\pi \rho b}{2g} (r_{b1}^4 - r_h^4), \ J_2 = \frac{\pi \rho b}{2g} (r_{b2'}^4 - r_h^4),$$
(47)

And

• 961 •

$$\angle O_2 N_{1'} D = \arccos \frac{\overline{O_2 N_{1'}}^2 + \overline{DN_{1'}}^2 - r_{a2}^2}{2\overline{O_2 N_{1'}} \cdot \overline{DN_{1'}}},$$
 (48)

$$r_{b2'} = \overline{O_2 N_{1'}} \sin \angle O_2 N_{1'} D, \tag{49}$$

where  $\rho$  is material density, b is face width,  $r_h$  is the radius of internal cycle of gear.



Fig. 5. Impact dynamics model

Then the normal kinetic energy is

$$E_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{J_{1}J_{2}}{(J_{1}r_{b2'}^{2} + J_{2}r_{b1}^{2})b} \Delta V_{n}^{2}, \qquad (50)$$

and dissipation energy is

$$W_f = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{J_1 J_2}{(J_1 r_{b2'}^2 + J_2 r_{b1}^2) b} \Delta V_{\tau}^2.$$
(51)

According to impact mechanics theory, the maximum deformation  $\delta_s$  and maximum impact force  $F_s$  with relative to energy as

$$E_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{J_{1}J_{2}}{(J_{1}r_{b2'}^{2} + J_{2}r_{b1}^{2})b} \Delta V_{n}^{2} = \frac{1}{2q_{s}}\delta_{s}^{2}, \qquad (52)$$

$$\delta_s = \frac{F_s}{bq_s},\tag{53}$$

$$q_s(r) = q_1(r) + q_2(r) + q_{\rm H}(r), \tag{54}$$

$$q_{\rm H}(r) = \frac{2(1-\nu^2)}{\pi E} \left( 1.27 + 0.781 \ln \frac{m}{a} \right), \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_i(r)} = (A_0 + A_1 X_i) + (A_2 + A_3 X_i) \frac{r - R_i}{(1 + X_i)m}, \quad (56)$$

where  $q_s$  is the comprehensive compliance of the initial

corner contact point *D*,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  are the compliance of gear teeth 1–2 (not including Hertz contact), and  $q_H$  is the contact compliance of teeth 1–2. The detailed descriptions of Eqs. (55) and (56) can be seen in Refs. [4] and [21], respectively. The impact force is

$$F_s = \Delta V_n \sqrt{\frac{bJ_1 J_2}{(J_1 r_{b2'}^2 + J_2 r_{b1}^2)q_s}}.$$
 (57)

### 4 Geometry Analysis of Corner Contact

As shown in Fig. 6, the triangle  $\angle O_2 DE$  can be discretized to n parts. For arbitrary point *J*, one has

$$\angle JO_2 E = \frac{j}{n} \angle O_2 DE, \qquad (58)$$

$$\angle JO_2O_1 = \gamma_2 + \Delta\phi_{2j}, \qquad (59)$$

$$O_{1}J = [r_{a2}^{2} + (r_{1} + r_{2})^{2} - 2(r_{1} + r_{2}) \cdot r_{a2}]^{1/2} \times [\cos(\angle JO_{2}O_{1})]^{1/2}, \qquad (60)$$



Fig. 6. Mesh position of corner contact

So the position and impact force at point D are determined as previous section, then the mesh point between points D and E can be calculated similarly. Note that, the gear error and tooth deformation enlarge the contact ratio, and the tooth root stress and the elastic deformation of the tooth become more complex.

## 5 Friction Coefficient of Corner Contact

In this section, friction coefficient of corner contact is built based on oblique impact friction theory and impact mechanics<sup>[22–23]</sup>, as shown in Fig. 7. We assume that, (1) dry friction, (2) the duration between  $D \rightarrow D'$  is considered and impact fluctuation is less important in the friction coefficient<sup>[11]</sup>.



Fig. 7. Illustration of impact model

The averaged impact friction coefficient is defined as ratio of tangential impulse and normal impulse as

$$\overline{f} = \frac{\int_0^{T_S} F_{I\tau} \mathrm{d}t}{\int_0^{T_s} F_{In} \mathrm{d}t},\tag{61}$$

$$m_{\rm red}\Delta V_{\tau} = \int_0^{T_S} F_{I\tau} dt, \qquad (62)$$

$$m_{red}\Delta V_n = \int_0^{T_S} F_{In} \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (63)$$

then,

$$\overline{f} = \frac{\Delta V_{\tau}}{\Delta V_n}.$$
(64)

When the duration tends to 0, the averaged impact friction coefficient is equal to transit friction coefficient.

#### 6 Numerical Simulation and Discussion

The gear parameters and material parameters used in the present paper are listed in Table 1. The modification is not considered (namely,  $e_m = 0$ ) and the gear and pinion are installed right (namely,  $j_{bn} = 0$ ). Moreover, the deformations of shaft, bearing and gearbox are not included.

Table 1. Gear parameters

Parameter	Value
Teath number $Z_1$	20
	20
Pressure angle $\alpha/(^{\circ})$	20
Module <i>m</i> /mm	5
Contact ratio $\varepsilon$	1.556 8
Internal radius $d_0/mm$	20
Power P/kW	15
Speed $n_1/(r \cdot min^{-1})$	2000
Young's modulus $E_{1,2}$ /GPa	205
Poisson's ratio $v_{1,2}$	0.3
Shear modulus G/GPa	78.8
Material density $\rho/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3})$	7800

For gear with 7 grade, the normal pitch error is  $\pm f_{pb} = \pm 16 \,\mu$ m, then the equivalent error  $\Delta f_{\Sigma} = 22.6 \,\mu$ m. According to Ref. [20], the deformation  $\delta_{\Sigma}$  is 24.7  $\mu$ m. and  $F''F = 47.3 \,\mu$ m (obtained from Fig. 2). Substituting the parameters in the previous Eqs. (6)–(61), we obtain the averaged impact force  $F_S = 2186.4 \,\text{N}$ , which is bigger than the theoretical meshing force  $F_0 = 1260.8 \,\text{N}$ , namely, the dynamic load factor is 1.734, closed to the result, 1.637 in Ref. [5]. The impact duration is  $T_S = 27 \,\mu$ s and the averaged friction coefficient  $\bar{f} = 0.1811$ . The friction coefficient is approximate to the experimental result  $\bar{f} = 0.179 \,\text{given}$  in Ref. [24] and is less than the maximum value advised in Ref. [25], which varying in the range 0.1–0.3.

## 7 Conclusions

(1) The gear equivalent error—combined deformation model is proposed to analyze the corner contact. The gear equivalent error is synthesized by base pitch error, normal backlash and tooth profile modification on the line of action.

The bending, shear, Hertz, root radius and foundation deformation are calculated to obtain the initial position of corner contact point.

(2) The impact velocity, impact force from the beginning to the end during corner contact before the normal path, are calculated accurately.

(3) The averaged impact friction coefficient, defined as ratio of tangential impulse and normal impulse, is obtained and approved with the presented reference.

#### References

- DION J L, MOYNE S L, CHEVALLIER G, et al. Gear impacts and idle gear noise: Experimental study and non-linear dynamic model[J]. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2009, 23(8): 2608–2628.
- [2] LIU Gang, PARKER R G. Impact of tooth friction and its bending effect on gear dynamics[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2009, 320(4–5): 1039–1063.
- [3] KARAGIANNIS I, THEODOSSIADES S, GENTILE. An alternative formulation of the dynamic transmission error to study the oscillations of automotive hypoid gears[J]. *Journal of Vibration* and Acoustics, 2013, 136 (1): 011001-1–12.
- XIAO Liming, TANG Jinyuan. Design method for gears with lower noise (I)[J]. *Manufacture Technology and Machine Tools*, 1995(5): 30–32. (in Chinese)
- [5] VEDMAR L, HENRIKSSON B. A general approach for determining dynamic forces in spur gears[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1998, 120(6): 593–598.
- [6] KASUBA R, EVANS J W. An extended model for determining dynamic loads in spur gearing[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1981, 103(4): 398–409.
- [7] SU Cheng, YIN Pengpeng. Analysis of nonlinear dynamics in a spur gear pair system[J]. *Mechatroincs*, 2011, 22(16): 1922–1928. (in Chinese)
- [8] ZIEGLER P, EBERHARD P. Simulative and experimental investigation of impacts on gear wheels[J]. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2008, 197(51–52): 4653–4662.

- [9] TANG Jinyuan, ZHOU Wei, CHEN Siyu. Contact-impact analysis of gear transmission system[J]. Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 2011, 47(4): 22-30. (in Chinese)
- [10] WANG Youqiang, CHANG Tong. The influence of approach impact load on elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication of involute spur gears[J]. Chinese Journal of Computational Mechanics, 2010, 27(3): 527-532. (in Chinese)
- [11] LI S, KAHRAMAN A. A tribo-dynamic model of a spur gear pair[J]. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2013, 332(20): 4963-4978.
- [12] LIN Tengjiao, OU H., LI Runfang. A finite element method for 3D static and dynamic contact/impact analysis of gear drives[J]. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 2007, 196(9-12): 1716-1728.
- [13] GAO Qiang, TANABE M, NISHIHARA K. Contact-impact analysis of geared rotor systems[J]. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2005, 2009, 319(1-2): 463-475.
- [14] WANG Peiyu, FAN Sichi, HUANG Zigui. Spiral bevel gear dynamic contact and tooth impact analysis[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 2011, 133(8): 084501-1-6.
- [15] ZHAO Ning, GUO Hui, FANG Zongde, et al. Modification and loaded contact analysis of spur face gears[J]. Journal of Aerospace Power, 2008, 23(11): 2142–2146. (in Chinese)
- [16] LEE C W, LIN H H, OSWALD F B, et al. Influence of linear profile modification and loading conditions on the dynamic tooth load and stress of high-contact ratio spur gears[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1991, 113(4): 473-480.
- [17] CORNELL R W. Compliance and stress sensitivity of spur gear teeth[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1981, 103(4): 447-459.
- [18] TAVIKOLI M S, HOUSER D R. Optimum profile modifications for the minimization of static transmission errors of spur gears[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanisms, Transmissions, and Automation in Design, 1986, 108(1): 86-95.
- [19] LIN H H, HUSTON R L, COY J J. On dynamic loads in parallel

shaft transmissions: Part I-modeling and analysis[J]. ASME Journal of Mechanisms, Transmissions, and Automation in Design, 1988, 110(2): 221-225.

- [20] ZHOU Changjiang, TANG Jinyuan, WU Yunxin. Load-hist evaluation of stresses and deflection of spur gear teeth based on exact model[J]. Machine Design and Research, 2004, 20(3): 67-70. (in Chinese)
- [21] KUANG J H, YANG Y T. An estimate of mesh stiffness and load sharing ratio of a spur gear pair[C]//Proceedings of ASME Journal of 12th International Power Transmission and Gearing Conference, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA, 1992: 1-9.
- [22] ALFONS Fischer, KIRSTEN Bobzin. Friction, wear and wear protection[M]. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2009.
- [23] VALENTIN L POPOV. Contact mechanics and friction physical principles and applications[M]. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [24] LEWIS A D, ROGERS R J. Experimental and numerical study of forces during oblique impact[J]. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 1988, 125(3): 403-412.
- [25] WEN Shizhu, HUANG Ping. Principles of tribology[M]. 4th ed. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press, 2012. (in Chinese)

### **Biographical notes**

ZHOU Changjiang, born in 1975, is currently an associate professor of mechanical design at Hunan University, China. He received his PhD degree in mechanical design and theory from Hunan University, China, in 2013. He is mainly engaged in the research of drive mechanism and mechanical tribology. Tel: +86-731-88822825; E-mail: yangtsezhou@hnu.edu.cn

CHEN Siyu, male, born in 1981, is currently a researcher at Central South University, China. He is mainly engaged in the research of gear dynamics.

E-mail: chsy1324@csu.edu.cn